arXiv:1511.07866v1 [math.CO] 24 Nov 2015

Warmth and edge spaces of graphs

Anton Dochtermann and Ragnar Freij

November 25, 2015

Abstract

In recent years two novel approaches for finding lower bounds on the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G have been introduced. On the one hand, basic tools from algebraic topology can be used to show that the connectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$, the space of homomorphisms from a edge K_2 into G, provides a lower bound on $\chi(G)$. This construction dates back to the neighborhood complexes introduced by Lovász in his proof of the Kneser conjecture, and was further developed by Babson and Kozlov. In another direction Brightwell and Winkler studied notions of 'long range action' of graph homomorphisms, motivated by constructions in statistical physics. They introduced a graph parameter called the warmth $\zeta(G)$ of a graph G, and showed that warmth is also a lower bound on $\chi(G)$.

In this paper we seek to relate these two constructions, and in particular we provide evidence for the conjecture that the warmth of a graph G is always less than three plus the connectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$. We succeed in establishing a first nontrivial case of the conjecture, by showing that $\zeta(G) \leq 3$ if $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ has an infinite first homology group. We also calculate warmth for a family of 'twisted toroidal' graphs that are important extremal examples in the context of Hom complexes. Finally we show that $\zeta(G) \leq n-1$ if a graph G does not have the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ for $a+b=n$. This provides an analogue for a similar result in the context of Hom complexes.

1 Introduction

The chromatic number of a graph G is by definition the fewest number of colors needed to color the vertices of G in such a way that adjacent vertices receive distinct colors. This seemingly innocent concept is one of the most well-studied notions in combinatorics. While upper bounds on chromatic number are in some sense straightforward (just 'write down a coloring') finding lower bounds often requires methods from diverse branches of mathematics. Here we wish to study two of the most striking approaches developed in recent years: one involving basic notions from equivariant algebraic topology, and one invoking constructions from probability and statistical mechanics. In both cases it will be convenient to phrase chromatic number in the language of graph homomorphims; this places the notion in a more general context and also allows us to use basic ideas from category theory. In this language, a coloring of G using n colors is nothing but a graph homomorphism from G to K_n.

The topological approach to bounding chromatic number goes back to Lovász's remarkable proof of the Kneser conjecture [11]. Here Lovász introduced the neighborhood complex $N(G)$ of a graph G and showed that the topological connectivity of $N(G)$ provided a lower bound on $\chi(G)$. In more modern treatments we recover $N(G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ as a space of homomorphisms from the edge K_2 into the graph G (homomorphisms from an edge), an example of the more general Hom-complexes $\mathrm{Hom}(T, G)$ of homomorphisms between two graphs T and G (see [1]). Precise definitions are given in Section 2, but the basic idea is that $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ is a polyhedral complex with 0-cells given by all directed edges of G, with higher dimensional cells given by directed complete bipartite graphs. In this language, a main result of [11] is that for any graph G we have

$$\chi(G) \geq \mathrm{conn}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 3.$$

Here we use the convention that $\mathrm{conn}(X) = -1$ if X is nonempty and disconnected. In more recent work [2], Babson and Kozlov showed that the space of homomorphisms from an odd cycle into G provided the next expected lower bound on $\chi(G)$ (see also [12] for a short proof of this result).

On the statistical physics side, Brightwell and Winkler studied the notion of 'long range action' of graph homomorphisms, and defined the *warmth* of a graph G in terms of homomorphisms from the (infinite) d-branching tree T^d. The idea is a generalization of the observation that, if B is a *bipartite* graph, then we can restrict the possibilities of the initial position of a random walk (thought of as a map from the one-branching tree T^1 to B), if we know where the walk is at the nth step, regardless of how large n is. The warmth $\zeta(G)$ quantifies, in a way that will be made precise in Section 2, how large d needs to be for the same to be true for maps $T^d \to G$. Brightwell and Winkler [3] show that warmth provides a lower bound on chromatic number: For any graph G we have

$$\chi(G) \geq \zeta(G).$$

As both warmth $\zeta(G)$ and connectivity of $N(G)$ provide lower bounds on chromatic number, the natural question that arises is whether the two parameters themselves are related. We note that both are defined in terms of homomorphisms of certain graphs *into* G (which is why it is somewhat surprising that they influence $\chi(G)$, which is defined in terms of homomorphisms *from* G). In particular they both behave well with respect to categorical graph products. Both invariants also provide tight bounds on the chromatic number of complete graphs K_n, with $\zeta(K_n) = n$ and $\mathrm{conn}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)) = n - 3$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_n)$ can in fact be realized as the boundary of an $(n-1)$-dimensional convex polytope.

Aside from these similarities the two parameters borrow techniques from quite disparate branches of mathematics, so finding any connection would be of interest. As we have seen, the warmth of a graph is obtained by considering maps from *infinite trees* into the graph G, whereas the connectivity of a $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ is defined in terms of maps from *spheres* into the space of directed edges of G.

A small example of a graph G satisfying $\mathrm{conn}(N(G)) + 3 > \zeta(G)$ is given by the *Grotszch graph* on 11 nodes and 20 edges [3].



Figure 1: The Grotszch graph G, with $\zeta(G) = 3$ and $\text{conn}(N(G)) = 1$.

Indeed, the Grotszch graph is a special case of the Mycielski construction $G \mapsto M(G)$. The Mycielski construction always increases the chromatic number of a graph G by 1, and up to homotopy corresponds to suspending the neighborhood complex [7] $N(G)$. Hence it preserves tightness of the bound $\text{conn}(N(G)) + 3 \leq \chi(G)$. However, it may fail to increase warmth: the Grotszch graph $M(C_5)$ has warmth

$$\zeta(M(C_5)) = \zeta(C_5) = 3,$$

as shown in [3]. Thus, the warmth of a graph G can be smaller than three plus the connectivity of $N(G)$.

In fact the gap between warmth and connectivity of the neighborhood complex can be arbitrarily large. As discussed in [6], the family of Kneser graph $K_{3k,k}$ has neighborhood complex connectivity $k-1$ and constant warmth 3. Furthermore, if we allow loops on our vertices (which of course takes us out of the world of finite chromatic number) we see that there can even be an infinite gap between the values of these two parameters. In [3] it is shown that a graph G has $\zeta(G) = \infty$ if and only if G is 'dismantlable', meaning that it can be folded down to a single looped vertex (see Section 5 for more details). There exist graphs G with the property that $N(G)$ is contractible and yet G is not dismantlable, so in this case we have $\text{conn}(N(G)) = \infty$ and yet $\zeta(G)$ finite.

This leads to the question whether warmth is *always* less than three plus the connectivity of the neighborhood complex. Indeed the following conjecture, first published in [6] will motivate the rest of our work in this paper.

Conjecture 1.1. *For any finite graph G we have*

$$\zeta(G) \leq \text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 3.$$

Conjecture 1.1 suggests a nice relationship between the long range action of infinite d-branching trees and the homotopy classes of maps from a d-sphere into the edge space of a graph. It should be noted that if T is any *finite* tree, it can be shown that $\text{Hom}(T, G)$ is homotopy equivalent to the space $\text{Hom}(K_2, G)$ (see [1]).

Conjecture 1.1 is related to some other open questions posed by Brightwell and Winkler at the end of [3], focussing on the connection between warmth, chromatic numbers,

and another invariant known as mobility. It should be noted that the first case of 1.1 with

$$\text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2, G)) = -1 \Rightarrow \zeta(G) = 2$$

follows from the fact that a nonempty graph G has disconnected $N(G)$ if and only if G is bipartite; in which case it is easy to see that $\zeta(G) = 2$. Our first main result establishes the first nontrivial case of Conjecture 1.1.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose G is a graph such that the first homology group $H_1(\text{Hom}(K_2, G))$ contains an infinite cyclic subgroup. Then $\zeta(G) \leq 3$.*

In the search for a counterexample to Conjecture 1.1, a natural graph to consider would be one where the connectivity bound fails give the true value of $\chi(G)$. In the modern treatment [1, 5] of the original results from [11] one can see that it is in fact the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-*index* of the neighborhood complex that provides the lowest bound on chromatic number. In [5] a class of graphs $T_{k,m}$ called 'twisted toroidal graphs' were constructed with the property that the connectivity of their neighborhood complexes are arbitrarily far from the index of the same complex. The main result in Section 4 is that these graphs in fact also have bad warmth bounds.

THEOREM 4.3. *The twisted toroidal graph $T_{k,m}$ has warmth 3, if $m \geq 5$ and $k \geq 1$.*

Finally, we turn our attention to bipartite subgraphs, and the effect they have on warmth and connectivity of neighborhood complexes . In his original paper, Lovász showed that if a graph G does not have a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{a,b}$ for some $a+b = n$, then $N(G)$ deformation retracts onto a complex of dimension $n-3$. In particular, if $\chi(G)$ is moreover finite, then $N(G)$ has connectivity $\leq n-4$. The main result in Section 5 is an analogous result for warmth, further supporting Conjecture 1.1.

THEOREM 5.4. *If a graph G does not contain any subgraph isomorphic to $K_{a,b}$, then $\zeta(G) \leq a + b - 1$.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the basic objects involved in our study, including precise definitions and characterizations of the neighborhood complex and warmth. In Section 3 we establish the first nontrivial case of Conjecture 1.1, Section 4 is devoted to warmth of twisted toroidal graphs, and in Section 5 we prove that the warmth of a graph G depends on whether G has all possible complete bipartite subgraphs of a given size. We end in Section7 with some further questions and discussions.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Matthew Kahle for fruitful discussions.

2 Basics

In this section we collect some basic definitions and results that will be needed for our work. Most of our terminology follows [3] and [1].

A graph G consists of a set of vertices (or nodes) $V(G)$ along with a collection of undirected edges $E(G)$. All graphs in this paper are assumed to have no multiple edges, but may in some cases have loops. The *neighborhood* of a node $v \in V(G)$ is defined as $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : vu \in E(G)\}$, and the neighborhood of a set $A \subseteq V(G)$ is defined as $N(A) = \cup_{v \in A} N(v)$. A *graph homomorphism* (or graph map) $f : G \to H$ is a mapping of the vertex set $f : V(G) \to V(H)$ that preserves adjacency, so that if $\{v, w\} \in E(G)$ then $\{f(v), f(w)\} \in E(H)$.

We let T^d denote the rooted infinite d-branching tree, an infinite connected graph consisting of a distinguished node r with degree d, with all other vertices having degree $d + 1$. In particular, T^1 is a rooted infinite path. We let K_n denote the complete graph consisting of n vertices and all possible (non loop) edges.

Definition 2.1. *The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is defined as*

$$\chi(G) = \min\{n : \textit{There exists a graph homomorphism } G \to K_n\}.$$



Figure 2: (A piece of) the graph T_2.

2.1 Warmth

We first address the warmth of a graph as discussed in the introduction. We begin with some basic definitions form [3].

Definition 2.2. *A graph map $\varphi : T^d \to G$ is* cold *if there exists a node $a \in V(G)$ such that for any integer k, there does not exist a graph map $\psi : T^d \to G$ such that ψ agrees with φ on the vertices of T^d at distance k from the root r but has $\psi(r) = a$.*

One can see that if $\varphi : T^d \to G$ is cold then there exists a cold map $\varphi' : T^{d+1} \to G$. We are then lead to the following main definition.

Definition 2.3. *The* warmth *of a graph G is*

$$\zeta(G) = \min\{d : \textit{There exists a cold map } \varphi : T^{d-1} \to G.\}$$

In this context we will always assume that G has at least one edge. In particular, the set of maps $T^d \to G$ is non-empy, so by definition $\zeta(G) \geq 2$. There is a nice characterization of warmth from [3] that will often be more convenient to work with. For this we need the following.

Definition 2.4. *A family $\mathcal{A}$ of proper subsets of $V(G)$ is called* d-stable *(in G) if, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a subfamily $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{d} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ of size d, such that*

$$\bigcap_i N(A_i) = A.$$

We then have the following characterization of warmth, again from [3].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $\zeta(G)$ denotes the warmth of a graph G, we have $\zeta(G) \leq d+1$ if and only if there is a d-stable family in G.*

For example, the warmth of a disconnected graph is always 2, a trivial 1-stable family consists of only one set (namely one of the components). Almost as trivially, a bipartite graph has warmth 2, as a 1-stable family is given by the two parts of the bipartition.

A slightly more delicate example is that a graph G with girth $g \geq 5$, always has $\zeta(G) \leq 3$. Indeed, let $a_0 \cdots a_{g-1} a_0$ be a minimal cycle of G, and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\{a_i\} : i = 0, \cdots, g-1\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is 2-stable, as we have $N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) = \{a_i\}$ (counted modulo g).

The main result regarding warmth from [3] is the following.

THEOREM 2.2. *For any graph G we have*

$$\zeta(G) \leq \chi(G).$$

2.2 Hom and Neighborhood complexes

In his proof of the Kneser conjecture [11], Lovász introduced the so-called neighborhood complex $N(G)$ of a graph G and showed that the topology of $N(G)$ provided a lower bound on the chromatic number of G. It turns out that $N(G)$ is an example of a more general homomorphism complex $\mathrm{Hom}(T, G)$ parametrizing graph homomorphisms from T to G. It can be shown that $N(G)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$, and it is the latter construction that we will need for our purposes[1]. For the following we use Δ_S to denote the simplex on vertices S.

Definition 2.5. *For a finite graph G, $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ is the subcomplex of $\Delta_{V(G)} \times \Delta_{V(G)}$ consisting of all faces $\sigma \times \tau$ with $\sigma, \tau \neq \emptyset$, $\sigma, \tau \subseteq V(G)$, and such that if $v \in \sigma, w \in \tau$, then $\{v, w\} \in E(G)$.*

We note that $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ has 0-cells given by the directed edges of G, and all faces of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ are products of simplices. The main result that we will need, originally from [11], is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. *For any finite graph G we have*

$$\mathrm{conn}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 3 \leq \chi(G).$$



Figure 3: The polyhedral complex $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_4)$. The vertices (which each correspond to a homomorphism $K_2 \to K_4$) are labeled by the image of the each vertex of K_2.

3 2-stable families from the fundamental group

The first, trivial, case of Conjecture 1.1, is the implication

$$(\mathrm{conn}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) = -1) \Rightarrow \zeta(G) = 2,$$

which follows from the fact that a nonempty graph G has disconnected $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ if and only if G is disconnected or bipartite; in which case it is easy to see that $\zeta(G) = 2$. Our first main result is establishing a first nontrivial case of Conjecture 1.1, comparing the different ways to establish the bound $\chi(G) \geq 4$.

On the topological side this concerns the simple connectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$. Our approach will be homological and will involve determining $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$, so we first study generators of this homology group. For this purpose, consider any even cycle

$$\gamma = \cdots — a_{i-1} — b_i — a_i — b_{i+1} — \cdots$$

of length $2n$ in G. We associate to γ the 1-chain $c(\gamma) \in C_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$, defined by

$$c(\gamma) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\{a_i\} \times \{b_i, b_{i+1}\}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\{a_{i-1}, a_i\} \times \{b_i\}) .$$

It is clear that $\partial c(\gamma) = 0$, so $c(\gamma)$ is a cycle in the chain complex of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$. Moreover, we claim that every homology class $x \in H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$ has some representative of this form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x \in H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$. Then there exist even cycles $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ in G such that $x = [c(\gamma_1) + \cdots + c(\gamma_r)]$.*

Remark 1. *The cycles γ_i are allowed to visit the same node several times, and may actually consist of two laps around an odd cycle in the graph. This is, for example, what will happen if G itself is an odd cycle.*

Proof. Since the chain group $C_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G); \mathbb{Z}))$ is generated by edges $\{a, a'\} \times \{b\}$ and $\{a\} \times \{b, b'\}$, we can write

$$x = \left[\sum_{i \in I} \left(\{a_i\} \times \{b_i, b_i'\} \right) + \sum_{j \in J} \left(\{a_j, a_j'\} \times \{b_j\} \right) \right],$$

with

$$0 = \partial x = \left[\sum_{i \in I} \left((\{a_i\} \times \{b_i\}) - (\{a_i\} \times \{b_i'\}) \right) + \sum_{j \in J} \left((\{a_j\} \times \{b_j\}) - (\{a_j'\} \times \{b_j\}) \right) \right].$$

We may assume without loss of generality that the graph induced on

$$\{a_i, b_i, b_i' : i \in I\} \cup \{a_j, a_j', b_j : j \in J\}$$

is connected, because otherwise x can be written as a sum of cycles.

A 1-cycle in $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ having two edges $\{a, a'\} \times \{b\}$ and $\{a', a''\} \times \{b\}$, is homologous to the same cycle with these two edges replaced by $\{a, a''\} \times \{b\}$, because their difference is the boundary of the triangle $\{a, a', a''\} \times \{b\}$. Thus, a homology generator of minimal length must have all $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ distinct, and by the same argument must also have all $\{b_j\}_{j \in J}$ distinct. Now, the identity

$$\left[\sum_{i \in I} (\{a_i\} \times \{b_i\}) + \sum_{j \in J} (\{a_j'\} \times \{b_j\}) \right] = \left[\sum_{i \in I} (\{a_i\} \times \{b_i'\}) + \sum_{j \in J} (\{a_j\} \times \{b_j\}) \right]$$

directly gives that $\{a_j\}_{j \in J} = \{a_j'\}_{j \in J}$. Hence, starting from an arbitrary $i_1 \in I$, there is a cycle

$$\cdots — a_{i_1} — b_{i_1} — a_{i_1}' = a_{i_2} — b_{i_2} — a_{i_2}' = a_{i_3} — \cdots .$$

Now it is easy to see that x is the sum of $[c(\gamma)]$, for all γ that can be obtained in this way from some choice of $i_1 \in I$. □

Denote by $\ell(\gamma)$ the length of the cycle γ. Moreover, when $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_a$ and $\pi' = \pi_1' \cdots \pi_b'$ are paths in G, where $\pi_a = \pi_1'$, we let $\pi \cdot \pi'$ denote their concatenation $\pi \cdot \pi' = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{a-1} \pi_1' \pi_2' \cdots \pi_b'$. We are now ready to state our first theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$ contains an infinite cyclic subgroup. Then $\zeta(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let $x \in H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$ generate a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, and assume, replacing x by one of its summands if necessary, that $x = c(\gamma)$ for some even cycle γ. We need to show that there exists a 2-stable family in G. We will construct such a family $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_n}$ as follows. First consider the set of all even cycles γ in G such that $c(\gamma) = r_\gamma x$ for some $r_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, choose from this set a cycle that minimizes $\ell(\gamma)/r_\gamma$. Let $n = \ell(\gamma)/2$, and we write

$$\gamma = \cdots — a_{i-1} — b_i — a_i — b_{i+1} — \cdots,$$

where indices are taken modulo n. Note that $c(\gamma)$ has infinite order in $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$, so we may assume (possibly redefining x) that $x = c(\gamma)$ and $r_\gamma = 1$. We stipulate that $a_i \in A_i$ and $b_i \in B_i$ for $i = 0, \cdots, n-1$. Now recursively, if there exists a cycle

$$\gamma' = \cdots — c_{i-1} — d_i — c_i — d_{i+1} — \cdots$$

of length $2rn$ in G, such that $c_k = a_k$ or $d_k = b_k$ for some k and with $rc(\gamma) = c(\gamma')$, then we add $c_{i+2sn} \in A_i$ and $d_{i+2sn} \in B_i$, for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will say that the cycle γ' *forces* $c_{i+2sn} \in A_i$ and $d_{i+2sn} \in B_i$.

For the completion of the proof, we need to show that A_i and B_i are proper subsets of $V(G)$, and that $N(A_i) \cap N(A_{i+1}) = B_i$. By the same argument, we will then get $N(B_i) \cap N(B_{i-1}) = A_i$.

To show that A_i and B_i are proper subsets of $V(G)$, by symmetry (rotations of the cycle γ) it suffices to show that $A_0 \neq V(G)$. We will therefore show by contradiction that $a_1 \notin A_0$. If we had $a_1 \in A_0$, there would be two paths π from a_0 to a_1 and π' from a_1 to a_0 in G, with $\ell(\pi) = 2rn$, $\ell(\pi') = 2r'n$, and with $c(\pi \cdot \pi')$ a representative of $(r + r')x$ in $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$. Then we have two cycles

$$\tilde{\pi} := \pi \cdot (a_1 — b_2 — \cdots — a_0)$$

and

$$\tilde{\pi}' := (a_0 — b_1 — a_1) \cdot \pi,$$

with $\ell(\tilde{\pi}) + \ell(\tilde{\pi}') = 2(r + r' + 1)n$ and $c(\tilde{\pi}) + c(\tilde{\pi}') = 2(r + r' + 1)x$. Since none of the cycles $\tilde{\pi}$ or $\tilde{\pi}'$ have lengths that are multiples of $2n$, one of them has a smaller fraction ℓ/r than that of γ, which contradicts the minimality according to which γ was chosen.

We need to prove that $N(A_i) \cap N(A_{i+1}) = B_i$. The inclusion $B_i \subseteq N(A_i) \cap N(A_{i+1})$ follows directly from the construction. Assume $v \in N(A_i) \cap N(A_{i+1})$, meaning that there is a path $c_i — v — c'_{i+1}$, with $c_i \in A_i$ and $c'_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}$. Let

$$a_k — d_{k+1} — \cdots — d_i — c_i — d_{i+1} — \cdots — d_k — a_k$$

be a cycle forcing $c_i \in A_i$, with

$$\ell(a_k — \cdots — c_i) = 2rn + 2(i - k)$$

and

$$\ell(c_i — d_{i+1} — \cdots — d_k — a_k) = 2sn - 2(i - k).$$

Analogously, let

$$a_{k'}—d_{k'+1}—\cdots d'_{i+1}—c'_{i+1}—d'_{i+2}—\cdots—d_{k'}—a_{k'}$$

be a cycle forcing $c'_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}$, with

$$\ell(c'_{i+1}—\cdots—a_{k'}) = 2r'n + 2(k' - i - 1)$$

and

$$\ell(a_{k'}—\cdots—c'_{i+1}) = 2s'n - 2(k' - i - 1).$$

Then these cycles, together with γ, can be concatinated to form cycles

$$a_k—d_{k+1}—\cdots—c_i—v—c'_{i+1}—\cdots—d'_{k'}—a_{k'}—\cdots—a_k$$

and

$$a'_k—d'_{k+1'}—\cdots—c'_i—v—c_{i+1}—\cdots—d_k—a_k—\cdots—a'_k.$$

The sum of these cycles generate $(r + r' + s + s')x$ in $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G))$, and the sum of their lengths is $2(r + r' + s + s')n$. Hence they both have $\ell/r = \ell_\gamma/r_\gamma$, as this is the minimal possible value of ℓ/r by construction. Thus we have $v \in B_i$, which finishes the proof. □

It is likely that the criterion that x has infinite order is superfluous, so that we have $\zeta(G) \leq 3$ whenever $H_1(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) \neq 0$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 relies on a homology generator minimizing the quotient ℓ/r. It is clear that such a generator must exist for finite graphs, but there is no reason to expect that Theorem 3.2 would fail for infinite graphs.

4 Warmth of twisted products

In [5], a family of *twisted toroidal graphs* $\{T_{k,m} : k, m \geq 1\}$ is constructed with the property that the connectivity of their neighborhood complex is an arbitrarily bad lower bound for the index of the same space, and hence also for the chromatic number. These graphs are natural candidates for a counterexample to Conjecture 1.1 since the topological properties of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, T_{k,m})$ that provide a lower bound on $\chi(G)$ are not detected by connectivity (they are examples of so-called *non-tidy* spaces, see [5] for details).

In this section we show that in fact these graphs also have small warmth, thus presenting a new family of graphs for which the warmth bound is arbitrarily far from the chromatic number, and also further supporting Conjecture 1.1. We will first settle the definitions, which agree with those in [5].

Definition 4.1. *Let Γ be a group, and suppose G and H are graphs with Γ actions. The* twisted product $G \times_\Gamma H$ *is the graph with vertices and edges given by*

$$V(G \times_\Gamma H) := V(G) \times V(H)/\sim,$$

where $(\gamma g, h) \sim (g, \gamma h)$ for every $g \in V(G), h \in V(H), \gamma \in \Gamma$, and

$$E(G \times_\Gamma H) := \{\{(g, h), (g', h')\} : gg' \in E(G), hh' \in E(H)\}$$

In particular, if Γ acts trivially on both G and H, then the twisted product is just the *categorical* or *direct* product. It is easy to check that twisted products are transitive, so

$$(A \times_\Gamma B) \times_\Gamma C \cong A \times_\Gamma (B \times_\Gamma C).$$

This is crucial in the following definition.

Definition 4.2. *Let C_{2m}° be the cycle graph of even length $2m$, with a loop attached at every vertex and the antipodal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action. Let K_2 have the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action interchanging its two vertices. Define the* twisted toroidal graph *$T_{k,m}$ recursively by $T_{0,m} = K_2$ for all $m \geq 1$, and $T_{k+1,m} := T_{k,m} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} C_{2m}^\circ$.*

A concrete representation of $T_{k,m}$ is thus as follows. Its vertices are equivalence classes of $(k+1)$-tuples $(\epsilon, a_1, \cdots, a_k)$, where $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or $\mathbb{Z}/(2m)$), modulo the identifications

$$(\epsilon, a_1, \cdots, a_k) = (-\epsilon, a_1, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_i + m, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_k).$$

The neighborhoods are given by

$$N([\epsilon, a_1, \cdots, a_k]) = \{[-\epsilon, a_1 + \alpha_1, \cdots, a_k + \alpha_k] : \alpha_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}.$$

In particular, $T_{k,m}$ has $2m^k$ vertices, and is 3^k-regular.



Figure 4: The graphs K_2, C_6°, and $T_{1,3} = K_2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} C_6^\circ$.

We are now ready to prove that $\zeta(T_{k,m}) \leq 3$ for large enough m, through a more general result for twisted products.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let Γ be a group that acts on two graphs G and H. Let $\zeta(G) \leq d+1$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a d-stable family in G that is invariant under the Γ-action. Then $\zeta(G \times_\Gamma H) \leq d+1$.*

Proof. Construct the collection $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of $V(G \times_\Gamma H)$ by

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\{[g, h] : g \in A\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We want to show that $\mathcal{B}$ is a d-stable family. Fix an element $B_A = \{[g, h] : g \in A\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^d \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be such that $\cap_i N(A_i) = A$ — such a subfamily exists since $\mathcal{A}$ is

d-stable. We claim that $\cap_i N(B_{A_i}) = B_A$. Indeed, assume $[g,h] \in \cap_i N(B_{A_i})$ has an edge to $[g_i,h_i] \in B_{A_i}$ for every $i = 1,\dots,d$. By construction, we can choose representatives (g_i,h_i) for the equivalence classes $[g_i,h_i]$ such that gg_i is an edge in G and hh_i is an edge in H for every i. Moreover, we have $g_i \in A_i$ by construction (since $\mathcal{A}$ is Γ-invariant). Now $g \in \cap_i N(A_i) = A$, so $[g,h] \in B_A$. This proves the lemma. □

Just as quotient maps modulo group actions are local homeomorphisms only if the group action is properly discontinuous, we need a notion of discontinuity to guarantee that combinatorial properties of graphs remain valid modulo the group action. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 4.3. *Let Γ be a group acting on a graph G. If for every $v \in V(G)$ and for every non-identity element $g \in \Gamma$, the graph distance $d(v,gv) \geq D$, then Γ is said to act D-discontinuously.*

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that G has a d-stable family consisting of singletons, and that Γ acts 5-discontinuously on G. Then G has a d-stable family that is invariant under the Γ-action.*

Proof. Define $\mathcal{A} := \{\Gamma\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}$. For $x \in V(G)$, there are $x_1 \cdots x_d$ such that $\cap_i N(x_i) = \{x\}$, by assumption. Clearly $\gamma x \in \cap_i N(\Gamma\{x_i\})$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

On the other hand, assume $y \in \cap_i N(\Gamma\{x_i\})$ is adjacent to $\gamma_i x_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, d$. Since Γ acts 5-discontinuously, we must have all the γ_i equal, or otherwise we would have a four-path

$$\gamma_i x — \gamma_i x_i — y — \gamma_j x_j — \gamma_j x$$

between different elements in the orbit of Γ. But then we have $y \in \cap_i N(\gamma_i x_i) = \{\gamma_i x\}$, so $y \in \Gamma\{x\}$. Thus $\mathcal{A} = \{\Gamma\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}$ is a d-stable family, and is Γ-invariant by construction. □

The previous two lemmas allow us to determine the warmth of $T_{k,m}$:

Theorem 4.3. *The twisted toroidal graph $T_{k,m}$ has warmth 3, if $m \geq 5$ and $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. The looped cycle graph C°_{2m} has a 2-stable family consisting of singletons, as $N(i-1) \cap N(i+1) = \{i\}$, assuming $2m > 4$. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts 5-discontinuously on C°_{2m} when $m \geq 5$, so by Lemma 4.2, C°_{2m} has a Γ-invariant 2-stable family. Thus by Lemma 4.1,

$$\zeta(T_{k+1,m}) = \zeta(T_{k,m} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} C^\circ_{2m}) \leq 3,$$

when $k \geq 0$. On the other hand, $T_{k+1,m}$ is not bipartite, so it has warmth $\zeta(T_{k+1,m}) > 2$. This concludes the proof. □

5 Bipartite subgraphs

In this section we investigate the effect that local structure (in terms of subgraph containment) has on the warmth of a graph G. In particular, in support of Conjecture 1.1, we derive results analogous to those known from previous work for neighborhood complexes.

Recall that the *(complete) bipartite graph* $K_{A,B}$ is a graph with vertex set $V(K_{A,B}) = A \coprod B$ and edges given by all pairs $\{(v,w) : v \in A, w \in B\}$. For finite graphs with $|A| = a$, $|B| = b$, we write $K_{a,b}$ to denote the graph. In his original paper on the neighborhood complex, Lovász established the following result.

LEMMA 5.1. *If a graph G does not contain the bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ for some $a+b=n$, then $N(G)$ deformation retracts onto a complex of dimension $n-3$. In particular, if $\chi(G)$ is finite then the connectivity of $N(G)$ is no more than $n-4$.*

We can ask about the influence of bipartite subgraphs on the warmth of a graph. Recall that $N(G)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$, so if Conjecture 1.1 is true, it then follows that if G does not contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ then necessarily $\zeta(G) \leq a+b-1$. Our next main result says that this is indeed the case, providing further evidence for Conjecture 1.1. This generalizes the result that a graph G with girth $g \geq 5$, always has $\zeta(G) \leq 3$. Indeed, graphs with girth $g \geq 5$ do not contain any copy of $C_4 \cong K_{2,2}$ as a subgraph.

We will need the following notion.

Definition 5.1. *Suppose G is a graph with vertices v and w such that $N(v) \subseteq N(w)$. Then the graph homomorphism that sends v to w and every other vertex of G to itself is a retraction of G onto the graph $G\backslash\{v\}$. We call this map a* fold *(or a* folding*) and denote it f_{vw}. A graph G is said to be* stiff *if no foldings are available.*



Figure 5: A graph G and the folded $G\backslash\{v\}$.

We then have the following result from [3].

THEOREM 5.2. *If $f_{vw} : G \to G\backslash\{v\}$ is a folding then we have*

$$\zeta(G) = \zeta(G\backslash\{v\}).$$

We note that foldings also preserve the homotopy type of the neighborhood complex, and in fact of arbitrary Hom complexes, as shown in [4] and [10]. Indeed, if $f_{vw} : G \to$

$G\backslash\{v\}$ is a folding then there is an induced homotopy equivalence

$$(f_{vw})_* : N(G) \to N(G\backslash\{v\}).$$

Hence in our consideration of warmth $\zeta(G)$ and connectivity of $N(G)$ we may always assume that the graph G is stiff. We will say that $v \in V(G)$ is *generated* by $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ if $\{v\} = \cup_{i=1}^{r} N(u_i)$.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let G be a stiff graph, and suppose a and b are positive integers with $a+b = n$. Assume that $v \in V(G)$ is not generated by any $n-2$ or fewer of its neighbors, i.e., that there is no collection of vertices $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ with $k \leq n-2$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^{k} N(u_i) = \{v\}$. Then there exist $v_2, \ldots, v_a, w_1, \ldots, w_b$ such that $K_{(\{v,v_2,\ldots v_a\},\{w_1,\ldots,w_b\})} \subseteq G$.*

Proof. We will fix n, and proceed by induction on a. Let v_1 be a vertex not generated by $n-2$ of its neighbors. By stiffness, v_1 is generated by its set of neighbors, so by assumption v_1 has to have at least $n-1$ neighbors. This proves the case $a = 1$.

Assume, inductively, that $a > 1$, and that we have found a complete bipartite subgraph

$$K_{(\{v_1,\ldots v_{a-1}\},\{w_1,\ldots,w_{b+1}\})} \subseteq G.$$

For $i = 2, \ldots, a-1$, by stiffness we can find $u_i \in N(v_1) \smallsetminus N(v_i)$. By assumption, $\{v_1\}$ is a proper subset of

$$\bigcap_{i=2}^{a-1} N(u_i) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{b} N(w_i),$$

so let v_a be another member of this set. By construction, $v_a \notin \{v_1, \ldots, v_{a-1}\}$. Now $\{w_1, \ldots, w_b\} \subseteq N(v_a)$, so

$$K_{(\{v\ldots v_a\},\{w_1,\ldots,w_b\})} \subseteq G.$$

□

COROLLARY 5.4. *If G does not contain any subgraph isomorphic to $K_{a,b}$, then $\zeta(G) \leq a+b-1$.*

Proof. Let $v \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary node. By assumption, there are no

$$v_2, \ldots, v_a, w_1, \ldots, w_b$$

such that $K_{(\{v\ldots v_a\},\{w_1,\ldots,w_b\})} \subseteq G$, so by (the contrapositive of) Lemma 5.3, there is $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{a+b-2}\}$ with $\cap_{i=1}^{a+b-2} N(u_i) = \{v_i\}$. This means that the singletons

$$\{\{v\} : v \in V(G)\}$$

is an $(a+b-2)$-stable family, so $\zeta(G) \geq a+b-1$. □

6 Warmth and Connectivity of Random Graphs

The warmth of random graphs G has earlier been studied in [6, 13], and their neighbourhood complexes have been studied in [8]. Our random graphs are drawn from the Erdős-Renyi random graph model $G(n,p)$. This means that they are simple graphs with nodes labeled $1,\dots,n$, containing the edge ij (where $i \neq j$) with probability p, independently for each pair $i,j \in [n]$.

Conjecture 1.1 is consistent with the known results on random graphs from [6, 8]. Indeed, the following two theorems are the main results from [6] and [8] respectively, in a language adapted to the present paper. We will let α and δ be arbitrary positive constants, and say that a sequence of events A_n happens asymptotically almost surely (*a.a.s.*) if $\mathbb{P}[A_n] \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. All logarithms are in base 2.

THEOREM 6.1 (Theorem 3.1–3.4 in [6]). *If $p = \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(1-\delta)\log(n) \leq \zeta(G(n,p)) \leq (1+\delta)\log(n) \text{ a.a.s.}.$$

If $p = O(n^{-\alpha})$, then

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1 \leq \zeta(G(n,p)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} + 2 \text{ a.a.s.}.$$

THEOREM 6.2 (Corollary 2.4–2.5 and 2.8–2.9 in [8]). *If $p = \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(1-\delta)\log(n) \leq \operatorname{conn}(\operatorname{Hom}(K_2, G(n,p))) \leq (\frac{4}{3} + \delta)\log(n) \text{ a.a.s.}.$$

If $p = O(n^{-\alpha})$, then

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} - 2 \leq \operatorname{conn}(\operatorname{Hom}(K_2, G(n,p))) \leq \frac{4}{3\alpha} - 1 \text{ a.a.s.}.$$

In [13], the results on the warmth of random graphs are adapted to a somewhat more general model of random graphs. We now fix the expected degrees w_i of each vertex i, such that $0 \leq w_i \leq n-1$ and $w_i^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n w_k$ for each $i \in [n]$. Then we include the edge ij with probability $p_{ij} = \frac{w_i w_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}$, again independently of all other edges. It is shown (Theorem 2 in [13]) that in this setting, the bound

$$(1-\delta)\log(n) \leq \zeta(G)$$

remains valid in the dense regime, where $\min_i w_i^2 = \Theta\left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_k\right)$.

The lower bounds in Theorem 6.2 are obtained by bounding the probability that the neighbourhood complex is k-neighborly, meaning that every k-tuple of vertices have a neighbor in common. This technique extends immediately to the non-homogeneous random graph model in [13], to give the same lower bound of

$$(1-\delta)\log(n) \leq \operatorname{conn}(\operatorname{Hom}(K_2, G(n,p)))$$

as in the homogeneous case. Put together, this means that the known results for random graphs are consistent with Conjecture 1.1

7 Further Questions

Our work leaves open a number of open questions, the most obvious being the remaining cases of Conjecture 1.1. As we have mentioned, even our proof of the case addressed in Theorem 3.2 requires a condition on the first homology group that we should be able to remove.

We also point out that even if Conjecture 1.1 proves to be false, there could still be an interesting connection between warmth of a graph and the topology of its neighborhood complex. In the context of lower bounding $\chi(G)$ it turns out that the correct topological invariant to consider is the *index* of the space $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$, where the index of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-space X is defined as

$$\mathrm{ind}(X) = \min\{m : \text{There exists a } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ equivariant map } X \to \mathbb{S}^m\}.$$

Here $\mathbb{S}^m$ is considered a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-space with the antipodal action. The more precise version of the original result from [11] is then that $\chi(G) \geq \mathrm{ind}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$, for any graph G. It can be shown that for a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-space X we have $\mathrm{conn}(X) + 1 \leq \mathrm{ind}(X)$. Hence a weaker version of Conjecture 1.1, still capturing the desired implication, is given by the following.

Conjecture 7.1. *For any finite graph G we have $\zeta(G) \leq \mathrm{ind}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)) + 2$.*

It may well be the case that Conjecture 7.1 is easier to prove, as it in particular avoids homotopy groups and speaks directly to the existence of equivariant maps into spheres. In [5] the index of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ is characterized graph theoretically, in terms of the chromatic number of a family of graphs obtained from G.

In the spirit of Section 5, it is interesting to study how avoidance of other subgraphs can bound the connectivity and warmth from above. Some topological results in this direction can be found in [8]. Finally, following ideas from Section 6, there are many variations of random graph models for which nothing or very little is known about both warmth and topology. A natural model to consider in this regard is that of preferential attachment.

References

[1] E. Babson, D. Kozlov, *Complexes of graph homomorphisms*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **152** (2006), 285–312.

[2] E. Babson, D. Kozlov, *Proof of the Lovász conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics, **165** (2007), 965–1007.

[3] G. Brightwell, P. Winkler, *Graph Homomorphisms and Long Range Action*, CDAM Research Report LSE-CDAM-2001-07 (2001), 20pp.

[4] A. Dochtermann, *Hom complexes and homotopy theory in the category of graphs*, European Journal of Combinatorics **30** (2009), 490-509.

[5] A. Dochtermann, C. Schultz, *Topology of Hom complexes and test graphs for bounding chromatic number*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **187** (2012), 371–417.

[6] S. Fadnavis, M. Kahle, *Warmth and mobility of random graphs*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/1009.0792` (2010), 13pp.

[7] A. Gyárfás, T. Jensen, M. Stiebitz, *On graphs with strongly independent color-classes*, Journal of Graph Theory **46** (2004), 1–14.

[8] M. Kahle, *The Neighborhood Complex of a Random Graph*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **114** (2007), 380–387.

[9] D. Kozlov, *Homology tests for graph colourings*, Contemporary Mathematics **423** (2006), 221–234.

[10] D. Kozlov, *A simple proof for folds on both sides in complexes of graph homomorphisms*, Proceedings of the American Math Society **134** (2006), 1265–1270.

[11] L. Lovász, *Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **25** (1978), 319–324.

[12] C. Schultz, *A short proof of* $w_1^n(Hom(C_{2r+1}, K_{n+2})) = 0$ *for all* n*, and a graph colouring theorem by Babson and Kozlov*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **170** (2009), 125–134.

[13] Y. Shang, *A Note on the Warmth of Random Graphs with Given Expected Degrees*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **2014** (2014), A749856, 4pp.